BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL EASTERN ZONE BENCH, KOLKATA

O.A. No. 18/2016/EZ

BISHNU PADA PAKHIRA

VS

MEMBER SECRETARY, WEST BENGAL POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD & ORS

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice S.P.Wangdi, Judicial Member

Hon'ble Prof. (Dr.) P. C. Mishra, Expert Member

PRESENT: Applicant : Mr. S.K.Bhattacharya, Advocate

Mrs. Leena Mukherjee, Advocate

Respondent No. 2 &9 : Mr. Dipanjan Ghosh, Advocate : Mr. Tapash Kr. Mondal, Advocate Respondents No. 3, 4 & 6 : Mr. Bikas Kargupta, Advocate

Respondent No. 5 : Mr. Kamalesh Bhattacharyya, Advocate

Mr. Tapan Kr. Ma<mark>nna, Advocate</mark> Mr.Amalels Ray, Advocate

Mr. Subrata Bhattacharyya, Advocate

Respondent No. 8 : Mr. Souri Ghosal, Advocate

	Orders of the Tribunal
Date & Remarks	
Item No. 3	
14 th March,	2 5/1.0
2017.	Original sanctioned plan of the questioned
- 21	housing complex has been produced before us by the
	ld. Counsel for the respondent No. 5 which we have
	perused and is returned to him with the direction to
	produce it as and when directed to do so.
	Affidavit filed on behalf of the Principal Secretary,
	Land & Land Reforms Deptt, Govt. of West Bengal,
	respondent No. 3, by Mr. Bikas Kargupta, Ld. Govt.
	counsel, is ordered to be taken on record.
	Prima facie, it appears that the Housing Complex
	in question was commenced with the sanctioned plan

which was approved on 21.5.2004. However, such approval appears to be quite questionable in view of the fact that the relevant Rules under which the plan was stated to have been sanctioned i.e., the West Bengal Panchayat (GP) Administration Rules, 2004, came into force in November, 2004. Obviously, therefore, the concerned Pradhan of the Gram Panchayat would not have the authority to sanction the plan before that.

Be that as it may, the question that further arises is as to whether the actual construction work of the complex commenced before the law i.e. East Kolkata Wetlands (Conservation & Management) Act, 2006 was enforced. This question assumes signification in view of the fact that there is a criminal case going on against the respondent No. 5 in the competent criminal court under section 18 of the aforesaid Act.

The Ld. Advocate for the respondent No. 5 prays for leave to file an affidavit explaining the factual aspect relating to these questions.

Prayer is considered and allowed. Let the affidavit be filed before the next date with advance copies on the other side.

In order to determine the aforesaid questions, it would be relevant to consider the charge-sheet filed in the case against the respondent No. 5. We, therefore, direct the Superintendent of Police, South 24 Parganas

or the O/C Sonarpur PS to produce a copy of the charge-sheet containing entire documents under Section 173 IPC for our consideration.

Let it be done before the next date with copies to the applicant.

The BDO, Sonarpur, respondent No. 9 has put in appearance for the first time through Mr. Tapas Kr. Mondal, Ld. Adv., who prays for time to file affidavit-in-opposition.

The prayer is considered and allowed. Let the affidavit-in-opposition be filed before the next date with advance copies to the other side.

Mr. Souri Ghosal, Ld. Advocate for the respondent No. 8, the Pradhan, Keyadaha No. 2, Gram Panchayat, prays for leave to file affidavit-in-opposition on behalf of the said respondent.

Leave is granted. Affidavit-in-opposition is ordered to be taken on record.

List on 26.4.2017.

Justice S.P.Wangdi, JM 14-3-2017 Prof. (Dr.) P. C. Mishra, EM 14-3-2017

